

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,564		08/02/2001	Tadashi Kitamura	009682-094 4591	
21839	7590	09/17/2003			
BURNS DO		WECKER & MAT	EXAMINER		
		22313-1404	SELLERS, ROBERT E		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1712	
	DATE			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J 44.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/890,564	KITAMURA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert Sellers	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t - Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	August 2003 .					
,		his action is non-final.					
3) 🗌 🤸	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matte					
	of Claims	Ex parte Quayre, 1955 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>29,34,35,45 and 50-69</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.					
48	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29, 34, 35, 45 and 5</u>	5 <u>0-69</u> is/are withdrawn from c	consideration.				
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6)□ C	laim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)□ C	laim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application	n Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) <u> </u>	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) <u></u>	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1.	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2.	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in App	plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trade	mark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/890,564

Art Unit: 1712

The election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the features of Groups I and II are combined into one set of claims. This is not found persuasive because the introduction of the rubber-like polymer particles of Group II into Group I renders the claims of Group I directed to a non-elected invention for the reasons set forth on page 3 of the restriction and election of species requirement filed May 2, 2003.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 45 and 50-67 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction and election of species requirement in the response filed August 4, 2003.

Newly submitted claims 68 and 69 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed. The claims require the presence of the rubber-like polymer fine particles which is directed to non-elected Group II which is distinct from elected Group I for the reasons espoused on page 3 of the restriction and election of species requirement filed May 2, 2003.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 68 and 69, as well as claims 29, 34 and 35 dependent thereon are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,564

Art Unit: 1712

Page 3

The amendment filed on August 4, 2003 canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive (MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because the insertion of the rubber-like polymer particles of the claims of non-elected Group II into the claims of elected Group I renders all of the claims being drawn to the non-elected invention.

Since the above-mentioned amendment appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to reply, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE AVAILABLE.

(703) 308-2399 (Fax no. (703) 872-9306) Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00 EST

> Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712